C.S. 100 (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

03

Writ Petition No. 1698 **of 2004**

PETITIONER:

Ledga Ram Rawat
aged about 55 years.
S/O Shri Chait Ram
Village- Thelki Post-lachchanpur
Tehsil- Palari
Distt. Raipur. (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1.State Of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary
Water Resources Department
Secretariat, Raipur (C.G.)

2.Chief Engineer
Mahanadi Project
Department Of Water Resources
Raipur (C.G.)

3. Executive Engineer
Water Resources Department
Division No.2, Baloda-Bazar
Distt. Raipur. (C.G.)

4. Sub-Divisional Officer
Water Resources Department
Sub-Division No.6, Baloda Bazar
Distt. Raipur. (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1679/04
Presented by Shri N.P. Diwan
dated 22-6-04

RECEIVED COPY
22/6/04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(42)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench : Satish K. Agnihotri, J

WRIT PETITION NO. 1914 of 2004

Bisahu Ram Yadav

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO. 756 of 2003

Sevak Ram

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO.2237 of 2003

Teji Lal Kurmi

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO.1271 of 2004

Kashiram Dheemar

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO.1698 of 2004

Ledga Ram Rawat

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1709 of 2004

Panchu Ram

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1766 of 2004

Paras Dheewar

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO. 2275 of 2004

Fekulal Sahu

Versus

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

WRIT PETITION NO.3612 of 2003

Parsu & Others

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO.1507 of 2002

Samaru

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

Mr. Vinay Pandey, Mr. Sunil Ottwani and Mr. S.K. Dadsena, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Present : Mr. V.V.S. Murthy, & Mr. P.S. Koshy, learned Dy. Advocate Generals with Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava & Mr. Vivek Sharma, learned Panel Lawyers for the State of Chhattisgarh.

ORDER
(Passed on 15th September, 2005)

The petitioners in this batch of writ petitions are working as casual labour gangs. The date of retirement was 55 years, as per circular dated 09.03.2000. The respondent State subsequently by circular dated 16.08.2004 increased the age of retirement from 55 years to 60 years. This Court in W.P. No.1149 of 2003 has considered this dispute as to whether the casual labour gangs, who have retired on attaining the age of 55 years be given the benefit of the circular dated 09.03.2000 for the purpose of retirement on 60 years, and order dated 29.09.2004 of this Court covers squarely the dispute involved in this batch of cases.

Accordingly, the petitions are disposed of in terms of the order dated 29.09.2004 passed by this Court in W.P. No.1149 of 2003.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Brajesh